Specificity of the HP1 chromo domain for the methylated N-terminus of histone H3
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Recent studies show that heterochromatin-associated protein-1 (HP1) recognizes a ‘histone code’ involving methylated Lys9 (methyl-K9) in histone H3. Using in situ immunofluorescence, we demonstrate that methyl-K9 H3 and HP1 co-localize to the heterochromatic regions of Drosophila polytene chromosomes. NMR spectra show that methyl-K9 binding of HP1 occurs via its chromo (chromosome organization modifier) domain. This interaction requires methyl-K9 to reside within the proper context of H3 sequence. NMR studies indicate that the methylated H3 tail binds in a groove of HP1 consisting of conserved residues. Using fluorescence anisotropy and isothermal titration calorimetry, we determined that this interaction occurs with a K_D of ~100 μM, with the binding enthalpically driven. A V26M mutation in HP1, which disrupts its gene silencing function, severely destabilizes the H3-binding interface, and abolishes methyl-K9 H3 tail binding. Finally, we note that sequence diversity in chromo domains may lead to diverse functions in eukaryotic gene regulation. For example, the chromo domain of the yeast histone acetyltransferase Esa1 does not interact with methyl-K9 H3, but instead shows preference for unmodified H3 tail.
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Introduction

It is now recognized that heritable changes in gene expression can occur without changes in gene DNA sequence. Elegant studies in Drosophila have provided insights into an epigenetic phenomenon known as position effect variegation (PEV) (Spofford, 1976; Eiseberg, 1989; Weiler and Wakimoto, 1995). Through rearrangement or transposition from its normal euchromatic position to one in the vicinity of heterochromatin, the ‘on/off’ state of a particular gene can be altered, resulting in PEV or mosaic silencing. Heterochromatin regions classically are observed as chromocenters of polytene chromosomes found in salivary glands of Drosophila (Figure 1C). Importantly, a group of dominant suppressors of PEV has been identified that are referred to collectively as Su(var) genes. For example, heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) was first identified in Drosophila as Su(var)2-5 (James and Elgin, 1986; Eisenberg et al., 1990). HP1 proteins have been reported in organisms as diverse as fission yeast (Swi6p) and mammals (HP1α, β and γ) (Eisenberg and Elgin, 2000), with a conserved role in epigenetic control of heterochromatin assembly that has recently been characterized (Bannister et al., 2001; Nakayama et al., 2001). In the absence of HP1, multiple telomere–telomere fusions occur, resulting in a striking spectrum of abnormal chromosomal configurations (Fanti et al., 1998).

Chromatin structure and function can be influenced by distinct patterns of post-translational modification within histone tails (Turner, 2000; Wolfe and Guschin, 2000). The ‘histone code’ hypothesis suggests that multiple histone modifications, acting in a combinatorial or sequential fashion on one or multiple histone tails, specify unique functions along the eukaryotic genome (Strahl and Allis, 2000). In some cases, subunits of transcriptional regulators contain one or multiple bromodomains that recognize histone tails acetylated on lysines normally required for active transcription (Dhalluin et al., 1999; Hudson et al., 2000; Jacobson et al., 2000; Owen et al., 2000). Methylation of specific lysines on histone H3 has also been reported (Ohé and Iwai, 1981; Straful et al., 1999). In this regard, human SUV39H1 and Schizosaccharomyces pombe Cir4, two SET domain-containing homologs of Drosophila Su(var)3-9, have been identified to be histone methyltransferases that specifically methylate Lys9 of histone H3 (Rea et al., 2000; Nakayama et al., 2001; for reviews see Jenuwein, 2001; Rice and Allis, 2001). Very recently, a series of in vitro pull-down assays showed that methylated Lys9 on histone H3 (methyl-K9 H3) might be a molecular handle for the chromo domain of HP1 (Bannister et al., 2001; Lachner et al., 2001). In particular, Bannister et al. showed that the HP1 association with methylated mononucleosomes could be completely disrupted by the addition of excess methyl-K9 H3 peptide, suggesting that HP1 recognizes methyl-K9 H3 in the context of mononucleosomes. Pull-down assays have also indicated that the HP1 chromo domain interacts with the histone fold domain of H3, suggesting that there may be additional sites of interaction between the chromo domain and H3 (Nielsen et al., 2001). HP1 interaction with methyl-K9 H3 is essential for epigenetic control of heterochromatin assembly in vivo (Bannister et al., 2001; Nakayama et al., 2001).
In our study, we have focused on elucidating the specificity of methyl-K9 recognition using a series of H3 tail peptides described in Materials and methods. Here, we use Drosophila HP1 as a model to characterize HP1 specificity for the H3 tail. Using an antibody specific for methyl-K9 H3, we show that this methylation ‘histone code’ and HP1 protein co-localize in situ on Drosophila polytene chromosome squashes. Through in vitro studies by NMR spectroscopy, fluorescence anisotropy and isothermal titration calorimetry, we characterize the physical determinants of this interaction and map the binding interface. In addition, we use similar in vitro studies to address the significance of point mutations that abolish (V26M) and alter (Y24F) gene silencing function of HP1 in vivo. The physical interactions described here for Drosophila HP1 may serve as general determinants of the specificity of HP1 chromo domains for the methylated H3 tail. However, sequence diversity observed in non-HP1 chromo domains may enable them to achieve different types of chromatin docking interactions. In support, we
show that the chromo domain of Esa1 histone acetyltransferase does not interact with the methyl-K9 H3 tail, but it has avidity for the unmodified H3 tail.

Results

In vivo binding studies

To detect patterns of H3 K9 methylation in vivo, we generated an antibody that specifically recognizes methylated K9 of histone H3. Microsequencing and mass spectrometry data indicate that the dimethylated form of a single lysine predominates in histone H3 in vivo (Borun et al., 1972; Duerre and Chakrabarty, 1975; Strahl et al., 1999). Therefore, a synthetic peptide containing this form of lysine modification was used to generate a polyclonal antibody in rabbits. The specificity of the resulting antisera (hereafter referred to as α-methyl-K9 H3) was assayed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). As shown in Figure 1A, α-methyl-K9 H3 recognized methyl-k9 H3 peptide with little cross-reactivity to control peptides, methyl-K4 H3 or unmodified H3. Addition of methyl-K9 H3 peptide to α-methyl-K9 H3 prior to the ELISA abolished recognition of methyl-K9 H3. By comparison, in a parallel control, the same concentration of methyl-K4 H3 peptide did not block recognition of the methyl-K9 H3 peptide. Together, these data demonstrate that α-methyl-K9 H3 specifically recognizes methylated K9 in the context of the H3 tail.

To investigate the in vivo presence of methyl-K9 H3 in Drosophila and human cells, whole-cell extracts were examined by western blot analysis using α-methyl-K9 H3. Histone-enriched extracts were made from MCF7 (human) and S2 (Drosophila) cell lines, and equal amounts of protein were immunoblotted with α-methyl-K9 H3, which showed that methyl-K9 H3 was present in both extracts (Figure 1B). As a negative control, recombinant histone H3 was not detected by α-methyl-K9 H3. Pre-absorption with methyl-K9 H3 peptide abolished α-methyl-K9 H3 recognition of H3, whereas reactivity was not lost with pre-absorption with methyl-K4 H3 peptide (data not shown). These results indicate that K9 methylation occurs in both human and Drosophila H3, and can be detected successfully by our antibody.

To investigate the in vivo significance of HP1 recognition of methyl-K9 H3 in Drosophila, we probed polytene chromosome squashes with α-methyl-K9 H3 antibodies, and detected a chromocentric staining pattern (Figure 1D). This pattern of staining co-localized with α-HP1 staining, suggesting that methyl-K9 H3 and HP1 both reside at the chromocenters (Figure 1D and E). As with ELISA and western analysis, pre-absorption with methyl-K9 H3 peptide was able to ablate α-methyl-K9 H3 specificity, while antisera pre-absorbed with methyl-K4 H3 peptide still exhibited chromocentric staining.

In vitro binding studies

The HP1 family of proteins (Eissenberg and Elgin, 2000) have an N-terminal chromo domain and a related C-terminal chromo shadow domain. The chromo domain is also present in the Drosophila Polycorn protein, which represses expression of homeotic genes. The chromo domain consists of a monomeric three-stranded antiparallel β-sheet that is flanked by a C-terminal α-helix (Ball et al., 1997; Horita et al., 2001). The chromo shadow domain is dimeric, and consists of a three-stranded antiparallel β-sheet that is flanked by two C-terminal α-helices, which form the symmetric dimer interface (Brasher et al., 2000). We prepared recombinant constructs of intact HP1, chromo and chromo shadow domains, and collected the corresponding fingerprint [1H-15N]-HSQC NMR spectra. In agreement with studies on mammalian HP1β (Brasher et al., 2000), we find, using gel filtration studies, that the intact protein and the chromo shadow domain are dimeric, whereas the chromo domain is monomeric. As shown in Figure 2A, each set of the chromo and chromo shadow domain resonances superimposes remarkably well on the spectrum of the intact protein. These data demonstrate that the chromo and chromo shadow domains are independent of each other within the intact protein while tethered via their 50 residue flexible linker, and that these two domains do not interact significantly within the context of the intact protein.

We then collected NMR spectra of HP1 in the presence of H3 tail peptides. No chemical shift changes in HP1 were detected when the unmodified H3 tail was used. In contrast, chemical shift perturbations were detected only for the chromo domain upon addition of methyl-K9 (very large shifts) and methyl-K4 (insignificant shifts) H3 peptides. Figure 2B and C shows the spectra for the chromo domain in the presence of saturating amounts of each modified peptide. Incidentally, titration of methyl-K9 H3 peptides corresponding to residues 1–15 and 1–20 of histone H3 showed exactly the same pattern of chemical shift perturbation, suggesting that H3 residues 15–20 do not contribute to binding. When we titrated methylated lysine amino acids alone (monomethyl, dimethyl and trimethyl forms of H-Lys-OH) to final protein:amino acid ratios of 1:10, no changes in the HSQC spectrum of the chromo domain were detected. This implies that methyllysine is only recognized by HP1 when it is presented in the context of the H3 tail sequence.

We carried out solution binding studies, and found that a weak binding interaction occurs between the HP1 chromo domain and the methyl-K9 H3 tail. Fluorescence anisotropy studies indicate that the intact protein and the chromo domain have similar dissociation constants (K_D of ~100 µM; Figure 3; Table I). Therefore, the chromo domains of the dimeric intact protein are independent of each other, and are each able to bind the peptide in a 1:1 complex, a finding in close agreement with the NMR data shown in Figure 2A. Moreover, using this assay, we show that methyl-K4 H3 tail interacts very weakly (K_D = 1.9 ± 0.5 mM) while the unmodified H3 tail does not interact with the chromo domain (Figure 3). In addition, an H3 tail containing both methyl-K4 and methyl-K9 modifications can still bind with a 2.5-fold weaker affinity (K_D = 268 ± 25 µM) than that containing only methyl-K9 modification.

Using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), we have obtained additional thermodynamic parameters that characterize the interaction of the chromo domain with methyl-K9 H3 tail. By titrating the peptide into the chromo domain sample, we find that the binding is exothermic and has a large enthalpic contribution (ΔH = −11.6 kcal/mol at 25°C; Figure 4). Binding also has a modest Gibbs free energy (ΔG = −5.4 kcal/mol) that
is consistent with the small cost in entropy ($T\Delta S = -6.3$ kcal/mol). Therefore, burial of the polar surface is probably important for binding. In support, when fluorescence binding assays were performed under destabilizing conditions for polar interactions (0.5 M NaCl was added to the buffer), the affinity dropped 4-fold ($K_D = 396 \pm 60$ μM). In contrast, when binding assays were performed under stabilizing conditions for basic interactions (the pH was raised from 6 to 8), a 2-fold enhancement was detected ($K_D = 55 \pm 7$ μM) (data not shown).

ITC studies show that binding is more exothermic at higher temperature, and comparison of average $\Delta H_s$ at 25 and 15°C suggests a small negative $\Delta C_p$ of association ($-0.12$ kcal/mol; although within the experimental error of $\Delta H_s$). This result is qualitatively in agreement with the idea that binding probably occurs in the absence of significant conformational changes in the protein, and that there is a decrease in exposure of hydrophobic groups to water when the complex forms. By comparison, interaction of the SH2 module with phosphotyrosyl peptide has a $\Delta C_p$ of $-0.1$ kcal/mol, and peptide binding occurs with minimal conformational rearrangements (for a review see Kuriyan and Cowburn, 1997).

Nature of the binding interface
In order to identify the chromo domain surface of interaction with methyl-K9 H3 tail, we collected a series of three-dimensional NMR spectra (see Materials and methods) and determined the sequential assignment for the free and complex forms. Upon completing the assignment, we noted that a number of backbone nuclei show unusually large chemical shift perturbations upon methyl-K9 H3 binding (Figure 2B). Figure 5A illustrates the weighted average chemical shift difference, $\Delta \delta_{\text{ave}}$, for each amino acid.
acid upon methyl-K9 H3 peptide binding calculated by using the relationship 0.25[(ΔHN)² + (ΔDN/5)² + ΔCa/2)² + (ΔCα/2)²]¹⁄², where Δi is the chemical shift difference for resonance i in the free and complexed states (Grzesiek et al., 1996). The resulting chemical shift perturbations are consistent with the formation of a specific complex in which only selected residues participate in peptide binding. The chemical shift changes in the ¹³Cα and ¹³Cα resonances do not result in changes in the secondary structure elements, as judged by the chemical shift index analysis and the analysis of the nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE; data not shown).

The [¹H]–¹⁵N NOE values for the backbone ¹⁵N nuclei in the free and complex forms of the chromo domain are shown in Figure 5B. The [¹H]–¹⁵N NOE values are influenced by the internal dynamics of individual amides as well as the overall rotational diffusion of the protein (Kay et al., 1989). In the free protein, the central portion of the polypeptide (region of [¹H]–¹⁵N NOE ~0.8) has restricted internal motion indicative of a highly defined structure, with the exception of the mobile turn region that connects β3 to the α-helix. In contrast, residues 1–24 and 76–82 at the N- and C-termini ([¹H]–¹⁵N NOE <~0.5) are under substantial motion lacking a well-defined structure. Upon complex formation with the peptide, the protein shows essentially no change in the pattern and quantity of [¹H]–¹⁵N NOE values. However, the turn connecting β3 to the α-helix adopts a new pattern of mobility at residues 62 and 63, consistent with implicating this region in H3 tail recognition. Overall, these results imply that structural perturbations caused by peptide binding in the core region of the chromo domain are

### Table I. Thermodynamic parameters involved in interaction of Drosophila HP1 with methyl-K9 H3 peptide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Kd (fluorescence μM)</th>
<th>Kd (ITC μM)</th>
<th>ΔH (ITC kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG (ITC kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS (ITC kcal/mol)</th>
<th>N (ITC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>120 ± 12 (133 ± 11)</td>
<td>105 ± 24</td>
<td>-11.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>80 ± 8 (91 ± 5)</td>
<td>59 ± 8</td>
<td>-10.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Binding results were obtained from fluorescence anisotropy (fluorescence) and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Fluorescence anisotropy of the fluorescein-labeled peptide was used to determine the apparent dissociation constant Kd (when a 1:1 binding stoichiometry is assumed) for the chromo domain and intact HP1 (values in parentheses) at 15 and 25°C. Using ITC, the single site binding constant Kd, the heat of binding ΔH and the number of sites N were independently measured variables at 15 and 25°C. The free energy and entropy changes for binding were then calculated using the following relationships: ΔG = -RT ln Kd and ΔG = ΔH - TΔS, respectively. In each case, parameters are reported as the mean (± average deviation from the mean) obtained from two independent titration experiments.
minimal, and in close agreement with the small $\Delta C_p$ of binding measured by calorimetry.

To map the peptide-binding surface, we relied on the existing three-dimensional structure of the 70% identical chromo domain from mammalian HP1β (Ball et al., 1997). Figure 6B and C illustrates the sites of chemical shift perturbations with $\Delta \delta_{ave} > 0.2$ caused by methyl-K9 H3 peptide binding. This analysis suggests that a concave binding interaction surface exists only on one face of the $\beta$-sheet that is not comprised of residues in contiguous primary sequence (Figure 6A). The floor of this concave surface is formed by conserved hydrophobic residues L43 and W45. In addition, the electrostatic charge distribution at the methyl-K9-binding surface of HP1 is shown in Figure 6D. A region of negatively charged surface, generated by conserved residues E56 and D62, surrounded by highly conserved residues Y24, V26, L43, W45 and C63, coincides with the NMR-detected site of interaction shown in Figure 6C. The side chain of the methylated lysine residue in the H3 tail contains a positive charge with the two methyl groups. These may form favorable interactions near the L43/W45 dip of the chromo domain. Methyl-K9 and methyl-K4 modifications occur in the context of different primary sequences (RTKQT for K4 versus ARKST for K9), which are probably very important for their recognition by different chromatin-regulating factors.

**Characterization of mutants that affect the gene silencing function of HP1**

A natural missense variant of *Drosophila* HP1, V26M, was shown to disrupt its gene silencing function (Platero et al., 1995). As shown in Figure 6, V26 is an extremely conserved residue that is implicated at the surface of the chromo domain for binding methyl-K9 H3 tail. We carried out fluorescence binding assays for the V26M chromo domain, and found that methyl-K9 H3 binding is completely ablated (Figure 7A). If we assume that the overall structure of the V26M variant is similar to that of the wild-type (the elution profile from the size-exclusion Superdex 75 column is identical to that of the wild-type), then an explanation for the abolished binding may be that a bulkier methionine at the interface of H3 tail binding (Figure 6D) precludes the packing of methyl-K9 on the chromo domain. However, analysis of the $[^{1}H-^{15}N]$-HSQC spectrum of the mutant led to the conclusion that the structure of the V26M chromo domain is severely destabilized (Figure 7B). This is indicated by the heavy amount of chemical exchange detected for the backbone amides of a set of non-contiguous residues surrounding the site of the mutation. The backbone amide signals disappear on the HSQC spectrum, indicative of structural heterogeneity at the putative surface of interaction for methyl-K9 H3 (Figure 7B). Moreover, significant chemical shift perturbations occur in regions distant from the site of mutation.
hyper-phosphorylation is correlated with heterochromatin assembly during development (Zhao et al., 2001). Several in vivo sites of phosphorylation have been characterized and mapped to S15 and Y24 in the chromo domain of Drosophila HP1. Residue Y24 is especially conserved and noteworthy. While mammalian HP1α and β isoforms conserve this putative tyrosine phosphorylation site, HP1γ does not, and at this position contains phenylalanine. Due to the involvement of Y24 in methyl-K9 H3 binding, we performed a fluorescence binding assay for the Y24F/A25P variant, and found that the binding affinity (ΔKD = 102 ± 9) agrees closely with that of the wild-type (Table I). Moreover, the structure assessment of this mutant by NMR analysis of the backbone amides showed that this mutation causes small chemical shift perturbations (Δδ < 0.1), which are limited to the site of mutation, thus maintaining essentially wild-type structure. Therefore, recombinant chromo domains containing either F24 or unphosphorylated Y24 behave similarly. Due to the significance of polar interactions for binding to methyl-K9 H3, it is plausible that in vivo phosphorylation of HP1 isoforms that contain Y24 may serve as an enhancer of the interaction with the positively charged methyl-K9 in histone H3.

**Not all chromo domains bind methyl-K9 H3 tail**

A recent study on the histone acetyltransferase MOF, which contains a chromo domain, reported that chromo domains might also be protein–RNA binding modules (Akhtar et al., 2000). In vivo association of MOF with the male X chromosome was suggested to depend on interaction of MOF with roX2 RNA. Removal of the chromo domain or point mutations in the chromo domain of MOF abolished in vitro RNA binding. Figure 6A shows that a poor sequence homology exists between the chromo domains of MOF and HP1 in the region implicated in methyl-K9 H3 binding, suggesting that chromo domains may well have diverse functions in eukaryotes.

Esa1 and MOF contain closely related chromo domains (Figure 6A) and belong to the MYST family of histone acetyltransferases (Mizzen et al., 1998; Sterner and Berger, 2000). To test if the chromo domain of Esa1 behaves similarly to that of HP1, we prepared a recombinant construct of the Esa1 chromo domain, and carried out binding studies to assess its affinity for histone H3 tail. Fluorescence binding assays were performed under conditions similar to those described for HP1 at 25°C and pH 8, and are shown in Figure 8; whereas the HP1 chromo domain is stable at pH 6–8, the Esa1 chromo domain is more stable at pH 8.

The Esa1 chromo domain shows avidity for H3 tails in a manner distinct from that of the HP1 chromo domain. The Esa1 chromo domain has a strong avidity for unmodified H3 tail (KD = 28 ± 6 μM). The presence of methyl-K4 modification reduces this interaction 10-fold (KD = 270 ± 68 μM) and, unlike HP1, the Esa1 chromo domain does not prefer the H3 tail with methyl-K9 or both methyl-K4 and methyl-K9 modifications (KD = 1.5 ± 0.4 mM for binding to methyl-K4/methyl-K9; see Figure 8). Because in vitro acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 modifies specific lysines in both histone H3 and H4 tails, we also performed binding assays with H4 tail peptides, but detected no significant avidity for unmodified H4 (KD = 1.5 ± 0.4 mM;
with the nucleosomes using the unmethylated H3 as a molecular handle, and this may be important for Esa1 to perform its acetylation function. Alternatively, the chromo domain of Esa1, like that of MOF, may bind preferentially to RNA (or methylated RNA) in ways that remain to be defined (Akhtar et al., 2000).

Discussion

We demonstrate, using a methyl-K9 H3-specific antibody, that this methylation mark and HP1 protein co-localize in situ on Drosophila polytene chromosome squashes. Using NMR spectroscopy, large chemical shift perturbations are detected on the spectrum of HP1 subsequent to methyl-K9 H3 peptide binding that are not detected with either unmodified or methyl-K4 H3 peptides. These perturbations occur in the chromo domain of HP1 and suggest a high degree of selectivity in binding of methylated peptide. This property of HP1 may play an important role in recognizing methyl-K9 for the ‘off’ state of transcription in heterochromatin, distinguishing it from methyl-K4, which has been associated with the ‘on’ state of transcription in active macronuclei in Tetrahymena (e.g. in active macronuclei in Tetrahymena; Strahl et al., 1999).

Using two independent binding assays (ITC and fluorescence anisotropy), we extend the in vitro pull-down assays that were published recently (Bannister et al., 2001; Lachner et al., 2001). Our in-solution binding data reach remarkably different conclusions regarding the affinity constant for the interaction of the chromo domain with the H3 tail, and provide insights into the selectivity of methyl-K9 binding (Figure 3). It has been shown that in vivo the dimethylated form of a single lysine pre-dominates in histone H3 (Borun et al., 1972; Durre and Chakrabarty, 1975; Strahl et al., 1999). Indeed, our antibody shows that dimethyl lysine is present in vivo (Figure 1). Therefore, we used H3 peptides (residues 1–15) containing dimethyl-K9 or both dimethyl-K4 and dimethyl-K9 modifications in our binding assays; $K_D = 100 \mu M$ or $K_D = 268 \mu M$, respectively. However, Bannister et al. (2001) used H3 peptide (residues 1–16) containing both trimethyl-K4 and trimethyl-K9 modifications for their binding assay with the chromo domain (HP1\(b\) chromo domain residues 10–80, see Figure 6A). We report a $K_D$ value 1000-fold weaker than the 70 nM reported by Bannister et al., which was obtained through solid-phase binding studies using the technique of surface plasmon resonance. Our findings are consistent with the dissociation constants reported for the bromodomain; a single bromodomain for a single acetyl-lysine-containing H4 tail peptide of $>300 \mu M$ (Dhalluin et al., 1999; Hudson et al., 2000), and a double bromodomain for a multiple acetyl-lysine-containing H4 tail in the range 1–5 $\mu M$ (Jacobson et al., 2000).

The key residues that participate in defining the putative methyl-K9-binding pocket are mapped on the three-dimensional structure and are in agreement with mutations that abolish silencing in vivo. The known K9 H3-specific methyltransferases from yeast to human all contain chromo domains that are closely related to the HP1 chromo domain. The structure of the chromo domain of S. pombe methyltransferase Clr4 has been reported recently (Horita et al., 2001). The putative methyl-K9 H3-binding surface is conserved in sequence (Figure 6A) as well as the surface profile, suggesting that methyl-K9 H3 could also serve as a molecular handle for Clr4.

Finally, we show that a distantly related chromo domain from yeast Esa1 does not have an affinity for methyl-K9, while it can associate with the unmodified histone H3 tail. This suggests that chromo domains may have diverse functions, with those of the HP1 family targeting the methyl-K9-containing histone H3. Accordingly, and in keeping with emerging findings that the bromodomain serves as a chromatin-targeting module (Winston and Allis, 1999), we favor the view that appropriate methylation marks dictate the recruitment of distinct chromo domain-containing factors and, in turn, contribute to gene activation or silencing. In sum, our structural and binding studies provide considerable insight into the nature of histone H3 recognition by the chromo domain.

Materials and methods

Synthetic peptides

Peptides were synthesized at the Baylor College of Medicine Protein Core Facility (Houston, TX). The primary sequence of each peptide is given below. In each case, an asterisk corresponds to the site of post-translational modification (dimethylated lysine in H3 peptides and acetylated lysine in H4 peptide), and underlining corresponds to a non-native residue: unmodified H3, NH2-ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQL-COOH; methyl-K9 H3, NH2-ART*KQTARKSTGGKAPRKQL-COOH; methyl-K4/methyl-K9 H3, NH2-ART*KQTARKSTGGKAPRKQL-COOH; methyl-K4/methyl-K9 H3, NH2-ART*KQTARKSTGGKAPRKQLC-COOH; unmodified H4, NH2-SGRKGGKGLGKGGAKRRHY-COOH; and acetyl-K14 H4, NH2-SGRKGGGKGLGKGGAKRRHY-COOH.
**Immunoprecipitation**

Polytene chromosome preparation was described previously (Bone et al., 1994). Salivary glands from third-instar larvae were dissected in 0.7% NaCl and fixed for 5 min in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.2, containing 3.7% formaldehyde, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.2% NP-40 according to standard procedures. The slides were then washed in PBS for 30 min, in PBST (PBS plus 0.2% Triton X-100) for 30 min, and blocked in PBST with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 min. Primary and secondary antibody incubation was for 1 h at 30°C in a humidified chamber. Both primary antibodies were applied simultaneously. Rabbit e-methyl-K9 H3 polyclonal antibodies were applied at a concentration of 1:500 and mouse CL49 anti-HPI monoclonal antibodies at a concentration of 1:400. Both secondary antibodies were applied simultaneously. Both Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-mouse and fluorescence isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-rabbit antibodies (Jackson Labs) were applied at a concentration of 1:100. Counterstaining of the chromosomes was for 5 min in 1 µg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) followed by a quick wash in water. ELISA and preparation of histone-enriched acid-soluble extracts were as described previously (Cheung et al., 2000).

**Cloning, expression and protein purification**

The coding regions of intact (amino acids 1–206), chromo (amino acids 1–84) and chromo shadow (amino acids 126–206) of Drosophila HP1 (SWISS-PROT accession code: P05205) were subcloned into pET16b vector (Novagen) and expressed in BL21(DE3) Escherichia coli. The proteins were purified by Ni-afinity chromatography (Qiagen) following gel filtration with Superdex 75 (Pharmacia) under native conditions. To avoid loss in yield, the N-terminal His tag was not removed. Uniformly 15N- and 15N,13C-labeled proteins were prepared by expression of 15N- and 15N,13C-labeled E. coli ATCC strain C600 containing pET16b vector (Novagen) and expressed in BL21(DE3) Escherichia coli with a N-terminal His tag. Variants of the chromo domain were prepared by subcloning the DNA of Drosophila HP1 corresponding to point mutations V26M and Y242A (Platero et al., 1995). A similar strategy was used to subclone the coding region of the chromo domain from mouse modulator protein 1 (Esa1, accession code: P05205) to an N-terminal His tag. All recombinant proteins were converted to anisotropy (A) values by the equation: A = 2P(3 − P), anisotropies of the labeled free peptides were typically ~0.008. Binding curves were analyzed by non-linear least-squares fitting of the data using KaleidaGraph (Synergy Software). Data were fitted using the equation A = [A0 − (A∞ − A0)]/[protein]/[protein] + [protein], where A0 and A∞ represent the anisotropy of the free and bound peptides, respectively.

**Thermodynamic parameters for methyl-K9 H3 peptide binding to the chromo domain were determined by standard ITC methods conducted at 25 ± 0.1 °C and 15 ± 0.1 °C using a VP-ITC instrument from MicroCal (Northampton, MA) (Wiseman et al., 1989). The HP1 chromo domain was dialyzed against 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.5, 25 mM NaCl buffer. Lyophilized peptides were dissolved in the same exchange buffer. Isothermal heats of reaction (ΔHcal) were measured by automated sequential injection of 25 injections of H3 peptide (1 mM), each 10 µl spaced at 2 min intervals, into 1.41 ml of chromo domain (90 µM). The heats of dilution were obtained by titrating the identical peptide sample into a cell containing sample buffer at each temperature, and subtracted from the raw data prior to analysis. Binding curves were analyzed by non-linear least-squares fitting of the data using the MicroCal software.
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